KOKATHA ABORIGINAL CORPORATION RNTBC ICN 8093
Kokatha Common Law Holders Meeting
Sunday, 23 November 2025
Lea Memorial Theatre, 12 Hannagan Street, Port Augusta SA 5700

Chairperson:	Nyani Thomas
Facilitator:	Geoff Deans
Board:	Nyani Thomas, Leeanne Strangways, Carly Chamberlain, Joyleen Thomas, Michael Turner, Paul Strangways, Margot Richardson, Glen Wingfield, Denise Thomas
Minutes Secretary:	Sarah Cassells (Office81)
Attendees:	Refer attendance sign-in record.
Apologies:	Meg Couzner, Angie Couzner, Paul Sultan, Kirsty Sultan, Craig Sultan, Justin Sultan, Amber Sultan, Caitlyn Sultan, Darren Sultan, Kyran Sultan, Dylan Sultan, Rhyn Sultan, Heather Mooney, Penelope Harrison, Mackayla Harrison, Kimberley Marden, Helen Marden, Renee Marden, Eunice Saunders, Dion Saunders, James Thomas, James (Jimmy) Thomas, Hannah Moosha, Deidre Moosha, Mitchell Strzelecki, Peter Strzelecki, Alicia Smith, Kerry Ludwig, Nicole Carter, Nina Starkey
Invitees & Guests:	Leonora Herweijer (Legal Advisor, Camatta Lempens), Jim McClusky & staff (BHP), Tara Hage & Huw Gerner (Northern Water Supply Project), Ailan Tran (Perpetual), Grant Treen (Perpetual), Cath Grasso (Perpetual), Chris Stevens & Tim Miller (Coda Minerals), KAC Staff

1. Chairperson's Welcome

The meeting commenced at 9.32 am, with the facilitator providing an introduction and outlining his role for the day. He then handed over to the Chair, who formally opened the meeting at 9.32 am**.**

The Chair delivered an Acknowledgment of Country, recognising Elders past, present and emerging, Kokatha ancestors, people, and Country, followed by a minute's silence. He thanked the Common Law Holders for their respectful participation at the AGM the previous day, acknowledged venue limitations, and noted the Corporation's commitment to continual improvement.

The Chair confirmed that registrations would close at 11.00 am and provided an overview of the schedule and agenda, including confirmation that guests would be presenting during the meeting.

The facilitator resumed the floor to outline the Code of Conduct, including what constitutes a breach, and reminded members to record apologies in the apologies book. Housekeeping matters were covered, including voucher distribution, meal arrangements, waste disposal, venue rules, keeping phones on silent, the prohibition on audio or visual recording, expected meeting etiquette, and the support being provided by SANTS. Members were advised that items of other business would be parked for later in the meeting, and feedback forms were available.

The facilitator then confirmed the director election results from the AGM. He also confirmed the CHC nominees and proxy arrangements.

Members were advised that key items on the agenda included:

- Confirmation of the previous minutes
- Consultation and consent of the Common Law Holders in accordance with PBC Regulations
- Updates from stakeholders
- An update on General Trust business
- Confirmation that voting would occur today

A quorum was confirmed.

2. Confirm minutes of the previous meeting

The minutes of the previous meeting were presented for confirmation. No amendments were requested from the floor.

Motion: That the minutes of the previous Kokatha Common Law Holder meeting held on 12 April 2025 be accepted.		
Moved: Garth Dodd	**Seconded:** Beth Turner	**Outcome:** Carried
Abstained: 6	**In favour:** Majority	**Against:** 0

The vote was a simple majority (50+1) by show of hands.

3. Kokatha General Trust Trustee Advisory Committee and Resolution

The facilitator handed the meeting to members of the Trustee Advisory Committee (TAC): Joanne Khan, Elaine Kite, Nyani Thomas, Anna Strzelecki, and Lynette Strangways, Joyleen Thomas, Garryn Wingfield, and Melissa Reid, together with Ailan

Tran from Perpetual, to provide an update on General Trust business.

Representatives from Perpetual in attendance included:

- **Ailan Tran**, Trust Manager (replacing Maz Hearn)
- **Grant Treen**, Senior Investment Adviser
- **Cath Grasso**, Operations Manager

TAC and Trust Overview

Tran provided an introduction, outlining her personal and professional background, followed by an overview of the structure of the Kokatha General Trust (KGT), which comprises:

- Olympic Dam Sub-Fund
- Carrapateena Sub-Fund
- General Account

She explained how funds flow into the Trust under the various agreements with mining companies, and how income is allocated to KGT, KAC, and ultimately to Kokatha people through approved programs. Tran outlined the roles of strategic planning, budgeting, and TAC's responsibility for assessing funding applications submitted by KAC.

The TAC clarified its role as distinct from the KAC Board:

- TAC manages agreement-based funds under the Trust Deed
- The KAC Board is responsible for native title rights and interests under the CATSI Act
- The KGT is regulated under the ACNC, while the Corporation reports to ORIC
- TAC decisions and Board decisions occur in different forums, with conflicts of interest managed accordingly

Trust Annual Report

The TAC provided an overview of the Trust Annual Report, including income, spending, investment performance, member programs, and unaudited financials (audited statements expected in December).

A question was raised from the floor about why the Annual Report had not been provided at registration, which was taken on notice, committing to ensure hard copies are made available at future meetings.

Tran advised that the annual report is currently being audited and will be available to Common Law Holders in December 2025.

Financial Update

Tran presented the investment income and contributions slide, noting:

- $1,314,953 was distributed to the community
- Budget variances included $500,000 in unbudgeted wellbeing spending
- Total program expenditure for the year was $1,717,095, resulting in spending exceeding earnings by -$574,563
- Current asset balance is $3,053,128.55
- If current levels of expenditure continue, available funds will be exhausted in approximately 3–4 years, though this remains a community decision

Investment performance was in line with benchmark projections.

Investment Breakdown

Michael Turner requested more detail on the types of investments.
Grant Treen explained the diversified and conservative portfolio, including shares, property, and other holdings designed to spread risk. He confirmed that the Trust does not invest in alcohol, tobacco, drugs, gambling, pornography, or other harmful industries.

Tran clarified that annual contributions total approximately:

- $380,000 from Olympic Dam
- $350,000 from Carrapateena
- $200,000 from investment returns

Questions and Discussion

- Sandra Taylor asked about a payment from BHP.
  Tran advised this likely related to other funding streams.
  The Chair clarified that the payment being raised came from BHP for Oak Dam and was spent on CAPs in FY25.
- **Business Support Grants:**
  Beth Turner asked why the business grant program offered last year was not funded this year.
  The Chair responded (first as TAC member, then as Director), explaining that business grants were only possible because payments from the Oak Dam ILUA, which is not available this year. He confirmed the desire to offer business support again when funding permits.
- **Scholarship Spending:**
  Mandy Dempsey sought clarity on future management of scholarship funds.
  Tran advised that no scholarship funds were spent in FY25 as no applications were submitted. Overspending across other areas reflects the requests submitted by KAC and approved by the TAC.
- **Sustainability:**
  Tran recommended preserving funds to prevent gaps in funding while awaiting contributions under agreements.

Treen used a “water tank” analogy to explain the need to allow income to accumulate.

- **Why funds go into a single KGT account rather than separate sub-funds:** Tran explained that this structure is determined by the trust agreements. She noted that only about 30% of program funding comes from the Trust; the remainder comes from KAC.
- **Clarification of investments and fund flows:** The Chair advised these matters were covered in detail at the AGM the previous day.
- **Forensic audit:** It was clarified that this is an AGM matter, not a CLHM matter, and should be addressed in the appropriate forum.
- **Charitable vs non-charitable requirement:** Michael Turner asked for clearer information for the community. Tran confirmed Perpetual can prepare explanatory materials for members.
- **Further comment on business support:** The Chair emphasised that the $4.3 million in program spending last year enabled expansion into business support, but this funding was not repeated in the current financial year. Joyleen Thomas added that once allocated program funding is spent, programs must close until further funding becomes available.

TAC Appointments and Fees

The facilitator walked through the TAC appointment overview. A proposed increase to sitting fees was noted, intended to align fee levels across all KAC committees.

A member asked how trust expenses would be reduced.
Tran advised that TAC aims to reduce costs by:

- Increasing online meetings
- Reducing travel
- Avoiding external conferences where possible
- Bringing trainers onsite rather than sending delegates away

Michael Turner sought clarification on governance requirements for meeting frequency. Tran advised that four meetings per year, plus one training session and a year-end financial review would satisfy governance expectations while managing costs.

Motion:
The Kokatha Common Law Holders consent to an increase of the daily meeting fee of the Kokatha General Trust Trustee Advisory Committee from $500 per day to $650 per day, to align with the sitting fees paid by the Kokatha Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC to its Directors and Committee Members.

Moved: Elaine Moosha **Seconded:** Khatija Thomas **Outcome:** Defeated

Abstained: 7	**In favour:** 44	**Against:** 66

Voting was a simple majority (50+1) by a show of hands.

4. Trustee Advisory Committee and Election

The facilitator advised that seven Trustee Advisory Committee positions were open for election. Under the Trust's governance arrangements, eight TAC members are ordinarily appointed every two years, with the top four candidates serving a two-year term and the next four serving a one-year term to maintain a staggered cycle.

As there were seven vacancies and eight nominees, the meeting sought clarification on the mismatch in numbers.

Ailan Tran explained that this is a quirk of the governance cycle, which is why both two-year and one-year terms will be allocated based on today's results to realign the appointment schedule for future years.

Members were advised that no more than seven candidates could be elected at this meeting.

Further clarification was provided regarding term limits and nomination requirements. Barbara Amos asked why the same individuals appeared to nominate for TAC positions each year. It was explained that TAC positions are filled by those who self-nominate, and the nominees before the meeting were those who had formally submitted nominations.

A morning tea break was taken at 11.04 am. During the break, two independent scrutineers were appointed from the floor to oversee the vote count, which was managed by SANTS.

Upon confirmation of the voting results, the following motion was put to the floor:

Motion:
That Lynette Strangways, Garryn Wingfield, Jo-Anne Khan, and Leanne Strangways be appointed as members of the Kokatha General Trust Trustee Advisory Committee for a two-year term; and
That Anna Strzelecki, Joyleen Thomas and Nyani Thomas be appointed members of the Kokatha General Trust Trustee Advisory Committee for a one-year term.

Moved: Daniel Ramm	**Seconded:** Sydney Carter	**Outcome:** Carried
Abstained: 1	**In favour:** 54	**Against:** 1

Voting on the motion was a simple majority (50+1) by a show of hands.

5. BHP Copper SA Update

The meeting resumed at 11.31 am, with confirmation that registrations had closed at 11.00 am. Voting for TAC representatives closed at 11.33 am, and SANTS commenced counting. The facilitator then handed over to BHP for their presentation at 11.34 am.

Presentation by BHP

Jim McClusky presented on behalf of BHP. He confirmed BHP's intention to keep Kokatha informed as early and as regularly as possible and provided operational insights, agreement-making updates, and a Copper SA Growth overview. A confidentiality disclaimer was noted.

McClusky delivered an Acknowledgment of Country, recognising Kokatha's cultural heritage and spiritual connection to the land, and noted that Olympic Dam, Oak Dam and Carrapateena are situated on Kokatha Country. He spoke to the purpose of *Creating a brighter future together* and reaffirmed BHP's commitment to Kokatha people. McClusky also referred to recent Olympic Dam visits with the KAC Board and expressed appreciation for the engagement.

Oak Dam ILUA

McClusky handed over to Rachael Siddal, who provided an update on the implementation of the Oak Dam ILUA, including:

- Progress on community projects and fulfilment of ILUA commitments
- Engagement between senior BHP leadership and Kokatha leadership
- Support for Kokatha Pastoral
- Anticipation of KAC's new strategic plan as it relates to pastoral operations
- Learning more about Kokatha culture
- Support for Kids on Country
- Kokatha presence at events
- The first Carrapateena Family Day
- Ranger event and mattress distribution
- Kokatha employment outcomes (currently 24 Kokatha employees)
- Ongoing work to develop Kokatha into leadership roles
- Engagement centre development

Commercial Opportunities

McClusky resumed the presentation to outline commercial partnership opportunities, including:

- Explanation of BHP's contracting system
- Work underway on a Framework Agreement between Kokatha Mining Services and BHP
- Opportunities through the Trading Tracks Indigenous Business Group

- Webinars for the BHP Local Buy (*C-Res*) program
- Expectation that early 2026 will see greater information available regarding contracting and business opportunities

McClusky noted that TJ (Tjiangu) Thomas had recently been identified as a representative for KAC with Trading Tracks, a question was asked about the appointment and BHP would follow up on the appointment process.

Heritage

BHP provided a heritage update, including archaeological and ethnographic surveys and ongoing heritage monitoring to support project development and exploration. Members were encouraged to raise heritage concerns with the KAC Heritage Manager for referral to BHP.

Carrapateena Update

The Carrapateena General Manager provided:

- A reflection on 2025
- Priorities for 2026, including increased business opportunities and employment
- An operational overview, including tunnel networks and ongoing feasibility work on transitioning to block caving
- Safety and tailings management
- Planned visits by the KAC Heritage team

Ankata (Oak Dam) ILUA Implementation

McClusky provided updates on:

- Key milestone approvals and payments
- Establishment of a Kokatha office at Ankata
- Progress of the Project Management Committee
- Safety, exploration and planning for the underground access project (2026)
- Additional opportunities for Kokatha businesses
- Environmental protection and rehabilitation planning
- Flora and fauna assessment during Stage 2 of the project

Towards a New Olympic Dam Agreement

McClusky noted that both BHP and Kokatha recognise the current ODA is not working for Kokatha or BHP and remains a significant issue for the community. Negotiation teams are preparing for discussions beginning in December 2025, with the aim of developing a new agreement based on strong relationships and mutual benefit.

Copper SA Growth and Operational Update

BHP presented further information on:

- Indicative locations of proposed Olympic Dam growth and operational projects
- Adoption of mining methods similar to Carrapateena
- Block caving (described as low-cost and preferred), currently in Stage 1 of a 3-stage study
- Expansion of production facilities
- Tailings, decline works and infrastructure planning (e.g. NWSP)
- Electricity supply requirements, including the Power Supply Project (PSP)
- Acknowledgement that new infrastructure may be required
- Planned consultation with Kokatha and other groups
- Renewable energy considerations - BHP currently draws ~50% renewable energy, and solar is part of future studies

No on-ground work is expected before 2029.

BHP briefly updated the CLH on NWSP from their perspective, with a full NWSP presentation scheduled after lunch.

Questions and Comments from the Floor

- **Naming of Oak Dam:**
  A member asked how the name was decided.
  It was confirmed that during the Oak Dam negotiations at multiple community meetings, CHC level and Board level Kokatha community members were asked to provide suggestions and a recommendation had been received which the KAC Board had accepted.
  Paul Strangways confirmed that Ankata meaning bearded dragon and was also agreed upon by himself, Andrew Starkey, and Barbara Amos.
- Beth Turner spoke to her connection to the mining areas and asked why the ODA renegotiation is occurring, and what proportion of BHP's earnings flow to Kokatha. She appealed for greater support and recognition.
  McClusky acknowledged her concerns, noting that similar matters had been raised through negotiation processes.
- Elaine Kite added further commentary for the benefit of members and encouraged families to attend CLHMs to remain informed.

BHP directed members to its Annual Report for further financial detail.

McClusky thanked the CLH for the opportunity to present.

The facilitator outlined the remaining agenda items, and the meeting adjourned for lunch at 12.24 pm.

6. Northern Water Update

The meeting resumed at 1.05 pm. A concern was raised from the floor about possible recording of the meeting. The facilitator and CEO both confirmed that **no recording of any kind** was taking place apart from the official minutes, which are required.

The facilitator advised that the TAC ballot results had been confirmed. The resolution to appoint TAC members was then finalised.

Questions Prior to the NWSP Presentation

Several questions were raised ahead of the Northern Water presentation:

- **Board and committee nominations:**
  Some CLH expressed concern about the same individuals nominating for positions.
  The Chair reiterated that the Rule Book governs nominations and eligibility, and that all have the opportunity to nominate.
- **Proof of Kokatha heritage:**
  A member asked whether a resolution could be passed requiring proof of Kokatha ancestry for nominees.
  The Chair confirmed that eligibility is determined by the Rule Book, and that eligibility will be part of the Membership Review process.
- **Rule change suggestions:**
  A suggestion was raised regarding possible changes to prevent conflicts on committees.
  The Chair took the suggestion on notice.
- **Family groups and representation:**
  Concerns were raised about the lack of heritage verification for participation on committees.
  The Chair again confirmed the forthcoming Membership Review.

Presentation by the Office of Northern Water (NWSP)

The facilitator handed the meeting to Tara Hage and Huw Gerner from the Department for Infrastructure and Transport (DIT) to deliver an update on the Northern Water Supply Project (NWSP).

Project Overview

The presenters provided an overview of the NWSP, noting:

- The project is in response to increasing industrial growth in the north of the state, particularly in critical minerals such as copper.
- The NWSP aims to support these industries through a new desalination plant (location along Spencer Gulf to be confirmed).

- Transporting the water via pipeline is the largest component of the project; approximately 270 km of pipeline is expected to be located on Kokatha Country.
- Upgrades to power infrastructure will also be required.

They outlined the commercial framework, noting a single consortium will be engaged to design, build, operate, and maintain the infrastructure.

Activities Undertaken in the Past 12 Months

- Environmental investigations
- Water quality monitoring
- Flora and fauna surveys
- Traffic studies
- Geotechnical investigations
- Community and stakeholder engagement
- Procurement readiness work

Cultural Heritage Update

It was reported that NWSP has been engaging with Kokatha for approximately three years and is close to having a clear view for the project reference design. Cultural heritage work remains ongoing and will continue throughout the project.

ILUA Negotiations

Outlined key themes discussed so far:

- Understanding the full scope of the project
- Environmental management requirements
- Employment, training and business opportunities for Kokatha people
- Cultural heritage management (current and future)
- Commercial terms
- Early scoping of broader compensation and benefits (still early stages)
- Potential environmental offsets, including rehabilitation and replanting
- Infrastructure naming (e.g. pump stations) to reflect Kokatha Country

Future Milestones

- Site announcement expected soon
- Two consortiums shortlisted
- State and Commonwealth assessment processes underway
- Continued ILUA negotiations
- Refinement of the alignment based on surveys and community feedback

Questions from the Floor

- **Construction timelines:**
  A CLH asked when construction would begin.
  Northern Water advised that the project is still in the planning phase. A 12-month tender process will follow, after which government will determine economic feasibility.
  Construction is unlikely for 2–3 years, and water is not expected to be supplied until approximately 2032.
- **Algal blooms and environmental impacts:**
  Questions were raised about how a desalination plant would avoid contributing to algal blooms and potential impacts on lore and Country.
  Northern Water explained that:
  - Extensive research has been undertaken
  - Existing algal blooms are linked to flooding and heatwaves, not desalination
  - The desalination process removes algae
  - EPA licensing will require strict controls on discharge and risk mitigation Confirmed these conversations will continue with Kokatha.
- **Contracts and economic opportunities:**
  Glen Wingfield asked about contracting opportunities for Kokatha.
  Northern Water confirmed:
  - The pipeline will pass through Cultana
  - Contractors selected through the tender process will make final decisions
  - Substantial Indigenous business involvement is expected
  - Multiple contractors will likely be engaged due to the scale of the project
- **Heritage overlaps and government consistency:**
  Andrew Starkey noted shared interests between Barngarla and Kokatha on Commonwealth land.
  Northern Water confirmed correspondence with the Commonwealth and ongoing engagement with Defence and other parties.
- **Indigenous Water Authority proposal:**
  Andrew Starkey proposed the need for an Indigenous Water Authority, expressing concern that pastoralists may benefit from water infrastructure on Kokatha Country without Kokatha control.
  Northern Water acknowledged the feedback and advised:
  - An easement will be created
  - Water pricing will reflect the cost of production, making widespread use unlikely
  - A relationship committee will maintain ongoing engagement with Kokatha

The facilitator thanked the Northern Water team for their presentation and proceeded to the next agenda item.

7. Authorisation of a Part 9B Native Title Mining Agreement for Exploration with Coda Minerals Ltd and Terrace Mining Pty Ltd

The facilitator invited representatives from Coda Minerals Ltd to present, noting that additional copies of the proposed agreement were available for the CLH. Chris Stevens (CEO) and Tim Miller (Operations) attended on behalf of Coda.

Presentation by Coda Minerals

Chris Stevens introduced Coda's operations and objectives:

- Coda is a small, open and transparent exploration company operating near Woomera, adjacent to Carrapateena
- Focus on copper, silver and cobalt
- Currently in pre-feasibility, with aspiration to develop a small mine capable of producing ~35,000 tonnes of copper per year (approximately one-tenth of BHP's scale)
- Proposed assets include two small open pits and one underground development near Carrapateena
- Indicative timelines:
  - Pre-feasibility over the next 12 months
  - Potential copper shipments in approximately three years
- Coda expressed a strong desire to involve Kokatha in the project from the outset

Stevens outlined expected capital investment, workforce needs and projected profitability.

Heritage Presentation

Tim Miller spoke to heritage processes:

- Participation in all heritage surveys personally
- Ongoing monitoring, site protection and culturally informed land management
- Rehabilitation processes for drill pad construction, including natural seed collection for revegetation
- Commitment to keeping dialogue open with Kokatha regarding cultural significance of sites

Questions from CLH

- **Saltbush seeding and involvement of Kokatha**
  Joyleen Thomas asked about seeding and employment opportunities.
  Miller described natural seed fall collection and expressed willingness to partner with Kokatha in rehabilitation to build capability, and KAC was encouraged to reach out to him to discuss further.
- **Reason for new agreement**
  Coda clarified that the previous agreement (2016) is outdated, particularly regarding remuneration and monitoring numbers, and was drafted under a different corporate structure. A new agreement aligns all terms with current standards.

- **Rare earths**
  Miller confirmed Coda is **not** mining rare earths. Stevens reiterated that none are present in the project area.
- **Benefits for Kokatha**
  Sandra Taylor asked what Kokatha will receive under the agreement.
  Leonora Herweijer (Legal Advisor) advised this would be addressed in her presentation and clarified that this agreement is for exploration only.
- **Consultation concerns**
  Sandra Taylor further expressed concern about insufficient notice of the agreement.
  Leonora Herweijer outlined the consultation and negotiation process undertaken including that the agreement had been notified by KAC with any offer for members to contact KAC for further information and copies of the agreement. She also noted that she would be going through the agreement clause by clause next before any vote was held .
- **Seeding and external partners**
  Beth Turner mentioned Greening Australia and broader rehabilitation opportunities.
- **Authority to grant access**
  Questions were raised about who has authority to give permissions on Kokatha Country.
  Leonora Herweijer reaffirmed that the RNTBC, acting under the law, negotiates agreements on behalf of the native title holders.

Coda thanked the CLH for the opportunity to present and departed at 2.25 pm.|

Presentation by Camatta Lempens, Legal Advisor to KAC: Terms of the Proposed NTMA (Exploration)

Leonora Herweijer presented the final terms of the proposed Native Title Mining Agreement (Exploration) between KAC (as RNTBC), Coda Minerals Ltd, and Terrace Mining Pty Ltd.

Copies of the agreement and presentation summarising each clause were available to Common Law Holders at the meeting.

She noted:

- The agreement reflects a **new standard** for KAC exploration agreements
- Developed with legal support from Camatta Lempens
- KAC, as RNTBC, has **the right to negotiate** but **no statutory veto** over proposed mining projects
- The new agreement replaces the outdated 2016 structure at the Board’s request

Key Features of the Agreement

Herweijer outlined the structure and obligations going through the agreement clause by clause and speaking to a power point presentation, including:

- **Commencement and duration** provisions, and replacement of prior agreements
- **Consent conditions**, ensuring no authorisation of heritage damage
- **KAC's role as a contractor** for heritage services under the agreement
- **Operational expectations**, cleared area requirements and clearance processes
- **Two KAC monitors**, with a clearance team structure
- **Follow-up inspections**, review of clearance status, and contractor education
- **Annual payments**, plus:
    - A contribution representing a portion of exploration costs as additional compensation
    - A sign-on fee
- **Significance**: other companies have resisted this funding clause, so its inclusion is notable and sets a new standard if approved
- **Costs**: all KAC costs are fully covered by Coda
- **Failure-to-engage process** with checks and balances
- **Heritage reporting requirements**, ensuring no confidential or sensitive information must be disclosed unnecessarily
- **Post-clearance "new significant information"** process, enabling immediate work cessation
- **Training and employment provisions** including cultural leave
- **Environmental and rehabilitation processes**, with KAC checks funded by Coda
- **Business participation pathways** and annual engagement meetings, including attendance at CLHMs
- **Cultural respect obligations**, including mandatory training paid for by Coda
- **Survival of agreement** if Coda is acquired
- **Confidentiality and communication** provisions
- **Dispute resolution** mechanisms
- Agreement governed by **South Australian law**
- Agreement can be **reviewed at any time**
- Schedules include maps and template documents
- Any Kokatha person may request access to read the agreement

If CLH consent is granted, the Board will authorise two directors to sign.

Questions and Clarifications

- Quorum was confirmed (42 CLH present; 30 required).
- Only Kokatha Common Law Holders may vote.
- A CLH suggested that similar resolutions be brought earlier in the day when attendance is highest. Herweijer noted her support for these sorts of items being earlier on the agenda.

Motion:
1. That there is no traditional method of making decisions about Native Title Mining Agreements;
2. That we agree that each Kokatha Common Law Holder over the age of 18 years attending shall have one vote and that voting shall be by majority, by show of hands; and
3. That we, the Kokatha Common Law Holders, authorise the Kokatha Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC to enter into a Part 9B Native Title Mining Agreement for Exploration with Coda Minerals Limited and Terrace Mining Pty Ltd.

Moved: Nathan Thomas	**Seconded:** Anna Strzelecki	**Outcome:** Carried
Abstained: 0	**In favour:** Majority	**Against:** 1

8. Any other business

A comment from one of the CLH expressed that it would be better to hold the CLHM closer to the end of the financial year so that the finances make greater sense to the CLH in attendance, noting that this aligns with the nominations and tenures on the TAC. The member noted that while two meetings of Common Law Holders are required, there is no specification as to when these are held in a year. It was noted that only the AGM needs to be held in November.

9. Meeting Close

The Chair thanked everyone for their attendance and closed the meeting at 2.50pm.